#### Case 2:15-cv-01676-LDG-VCF Document 1 Filed 08/31/15 Page 1 of 13

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	ĺ
	9	
	10	
-	11	
A NEVAUA LAW FIKM	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	

25

26

27

28

A tkin Winner S, Sherrod

1	BRUCE W. KELLEY Nevada Bar No. 7331				
2	ATKIN WINNER & SHERROD				
3	1117 South Rancho Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89102				
4	Phone (702) 243-7000 Facsimile (702) 243-7059				
5	bkelley@awslawyers.com				
6	Attorneys for Defendant LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY				
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
9	MARCO MONTANO,	CASE NO.:			
10	Plaintiff,	4			
11	vs.				
12	LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY, FRED				
13	LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY, and JOHN DOES I through X, inclusive,				
14	Defendants.				
1.5					

# **DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR REMOVAL**

I.

Defendant LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY now petitions this Court for the removal of the above-entitled case from the District Court of the State of Nevada in and for the County of Clark, where it is now pending, to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada at Las Vegas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1441(a) et seq.

II.

Plaintiff commenced the above-referenced matter in the Eighth Judicial District Court for the State of Nevada in and for the County of Clark as Case Number A-15-722152-C, Department XXXII on July 24, 2015. Service of Summons and Complaint on the Defendant LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY was made on August 7, 2015, by serving the Las Vegas branch of the Insurance Commissioner's Office. The action is currently pending in the District Court, Clark County, Nevada. A copy of the Plaintiff's Complaint setting forth the claims for relief upon which

# Case 2:15-cv-01676-LDG-VCF Document 1 Filed 08/31/15 Page 2 of 13

	1	the action is based is attached hereto along with the appropriate copies of the service of process as		
	2	by this reference made a part hereof. See Exhibit "A".		
	3	III.		
	4	The Doe Defendants in this action have not been identified and are merely nominal		
	5	parties without relevance to the action.		
	6	IV.		
	7	This is a civil action for the alleged breach of an implied covenant of good faith and		
8		fair dealing arising out of an insurance contract as well as for breach of the same insurance contract.		
	9	V.		
	10	This Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. Section 1332(a) based on		
	11	diversity of citizenship.		
	12	VI.		
	13	At the time of the commencement of this action and since that time, the Plaintiff was		
	14	and is an individual citizen and resident of the State of Nevada.		
15 16 17 incorp		VII.		
		The Defendant LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY is duly licensed corporation		
		incorporated in the State of Texas with their principal places of business in the State of Texas.		
	18	VIII.		
That upon information and belief, Defendant LO		That upon information and belief, Defendant LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY		
	20	believes the Plaintiff's claims in this matter exceed \$75,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs.		
	21	IX.		
	22	This Petition for Removal is timely filed under 28 U.S.C. Section 1446(b).		
	23	Dated: August 17, 2015 ATKIN WINNER & SHERROD		
	24	60 0 MM		
	25	Bruce W. Kelley		
	26	Nevada Bar No. 7331 1117 South Rancho Drive		
	27	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Defendant LOYA INSURANCE		
	28	COMPANY		

ATKIN WINNER & SHERROD

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on this 32 day of August, 2015, the foregoing <b>DEFENDANT</b>
PETITION FOR REMOVAL was served on the following by [] Electronic Service pursuant
NEFR 9 [ **Electronic Filing and Service pursuant to NEFR 9 [ ] hand delivery [ ] overnig
delivery [ ] fax [ ] fax and mail [ mailing by depositing with the U.S. mail in Las Vega
Nevada, enclosed in a sealed envelope with first class postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

# **PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL**

Victor Lee Miller, Esq.
LAW OFFICES OF VICTOR LEE MILLER
806 Buchanan Boulevard, Suite 115-338
Boulder City, NV 89005
(702) 877-4779
(702) 358-6771 - fax
vmillerlaw@yahoo.com

An employee of ATKIN WINNER & SHERROD

EXHIBIT "A"

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	£	Electronically Filed 07/24/2015 03:54:43 PM   Alun A. Electronically Filed 07/24/2015 03:54:43 PM  CLERK OF THE COURT  CLERK OF THE COURT  CASE NO.: A- 15-722152-C  DEPT NO.:	
	LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY, FRED LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY, and JOHN DOES I through X, Inclusive.  Defendants.	) XXXI I	
17 18 19	COMPLAINT  COMES NOW, the Plaintiff, MARCO MONTANO in the above-entitled action, by and		
20 21 22 23	through her attorney, VICTOR LEE MILLER, ESQ., and for cause of action states:  FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION  I.		
24 25 26	That Defendants LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY, FRED LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY are a foreign insurance corporations, licensed to do business in the State of Nevada.  II.		
LAN OFFICED  //CITOR MILLER 27  SE DECATURELATA AS VIOLS, NY STATA AS VIOLS IN STATA	That the identities of Defendants JOHN DOES I through X are unknown at this time and may be individuals, partnerships or corporations. Plaintiff alleges that each of the Defendants		

designated herein as DOE is responsible in some manner for the damages suffered by the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff requests leave of the Court to amend the Complaint to name the Defendants specifically when their true identities become known.

111.

That on or about the 17th day if January 2014, Plaintiff MARCO MONTANO was operating a motor vehicle in the County of Clark, State of Nevada; said motor vehicle was covered by a policy of automobile insurance with Defendant LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY. FRED LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY: said policy included underinsured motorist coverage.

IV.

That on or about the 17th day of January 2014, while said policy of insurance was in full force and effect, Plaintiff MARCO MONTANO was injured in a motor vehicle accident in the County of Clark, State of Nevada. Plaintiff, MARCO MONTANO was operating an automobile on Nellis Blvd and Stewart Ave. in said County and State, when underinsured motorist, SALVADOR GARCIA, negligently change lanes and rear-ended Plaintiff, MARCO MONTANO.

V.

That, as a direct and proximate cause of the negligence of an underinsured driver, Plaintiff suffered serious and severe bodily injuries, and by reason of such injuries, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer severe pain. Additionally, Plaintiff required medical attention, nursing care, and treatment. Plaintiff did expend and will be required to expend large sums of money for hospitalization and medical and nursing attention and care. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this Complaint to provide the exact amount of the special damages at the time of trial.

VI.

That all of the aforesaid injuries of Plaintiff are or may be permanent in nature,

VII.

That at the time of the accident in question, Plaintiff was able bodied and employable, but because of the injuries suffered as a result of the accident, Plaintiff lost the ability to enjoy employment and suffered as a result a loss of carning capacity.

VIII.

That Plaintiff has performed all conditions, terms and covenants required by said policy to be performed by her.

IX.

That Plaintiff received his portion of the policy limits from the negligent driver's insurance company, Kemper Insurance, to compensate him for his personal injuries. That said policy limits are insufficient compensation for the Plaintiff's injuries and Defendant, pursuant to the underinsured motorist coverage of its policy of insurance with the Plaintiff, is obligated to pay whatever damages Plaintiff suffered over and above the policy limits of the underinsured motorist.

X.

That it has been necessary for the Plaintiff to hire an attorney to prosecute this action and Defendant should be required to pay Plaintiff a reasonable attorney's fee.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

XI.

Plaintiff realleges, repeats and reverses the allegations of paragraphs I through X of the First Cause of Action of this Complaint as if expressly rewritten and set forth herein.

XIL

That said policy of automobile insurance with Defendant LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY, FRED LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY was in effect at the time of the 17th day of January 2014, accident, wherein Plaintiff MARCO MONTANO sustained personal injuries. Said policy of insurance included underinsured motorist coverage, wherein Defendant LOYA INSURANCE was obligated to pay for Plaintiff's damages in excess of the policy limits of the underinsured driver.

#### XIII.

That Plaintiff performed all conditions of the policy required to be performed on her part.

XIV.

That despite Plaintitl's demands, Defendant LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY, FRED LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY has refused to make full payment under the terms of said underinsured motorist coverage per the policy of automobile insurance.

#### XV.

That Defendant LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY has breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing under the insurance policy by unreasonably denying and delaying payment of Plaintiff's claim without proper cause in that Defendant has not diligently pursued its investigation of Plaintiff's claim.

#### XVI.

That as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's wrongful failure pay benefits under the policy, Plaintiff has suffered consequential damages for legal expenses in the collection of said amount.

#### XVII.

That as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of its duty of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff has sustained emotional and mental distress and general damages in excess of \$10,000.00.

#### XVIII.

That the aforementioned acts of the Defendant were willful, malicious, oppressive and fraudulent in that the Defendant's conduct was carried on with a conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights under the policy, thereby warranting the assessment of exemplary and punitive damages against the Defendant in an amount appropriate to punish the Defendant and set an example for others.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- For special and general damages in excess of \$10,000.00;
- 2. For punitive and exemplary damages in excess of \$10,000.00;
- 3. For costs, autorney fees, and prejudgment interest; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper in the premises.

DATED this 24 they of July 2015.

VICTOR LEE MILLER, ESQ.

Nevada Bar# 002411

935 South Decatur Boulevard

Las Vegas, Nevada 89107

Electronically Filed 08/21/2015 02:25:38 PM 1 PSER VICTOR LEE MILLER, ESO. 2 Nevada Bar # 002411 **CLERK OF THE COURT** 3 806 Buchanan Blvd., Ste. 115-338 Boulder City, NV 89005 4 vmillerlaw@yahoo.com (702) 877-4779 S Attorney for Plaintiff 6 7 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 MARCO MONTANO 10 Plaintiff, CASE NO.: A-722152 11 V: DEPT NO.: XXXII 12 LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY, FRED 13 LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY, and JOHN DOES I through X. Inclusive. 14 Defendants. 15 16 PROOF OF SERVICE 17 COMES NOW, Plaintiff, MARCO MONTANO, by and through his attorney of 18 19 record, VICTOR LEE MILLER, ESQ., and hereby files proof of service of the Complaint and 20 Summons upon Defendant, LOYA INSURANCE COMPANY, FRED LOYA INSURANCE 21 COMPANY., on the 7th day of August 2015; proof of which is attached hereto. 22 DATED this 2/5f day of August 2015. 23 Respectfully submitted by: 24 25 26 VICTOR LEE MILLER, ESQ. Nevada Bar #002411 ACTOR MILLER 27 935 S. Decatur Boulevard 235 S. DECATER BLVD. LAS VECAS, NV 89107 (702) 877-4779 Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 28 Attorney for Plaintiff

LAW OFFICES

Gase 2:15-cv-01676-LDG-VCF Document 1 Filed 08/31/15 Page 11 of 13

## Case 2:15-cv-01676-LDG-VCF Document 1 Filed 08/31/15 Page 12 of 13

BRIAN SANDOVAL Governor

#### STATE OF NEVADA

BRUCE H. BRESLOW Director

AMY L. PARKS, 28Q. Acting Commissioner



# DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY DIVISION OF INSURANCE

2501 Eust Sabara Avenuc, Suite 302 Las Vegas, Nevida 89104-4137 (702) 486-4009 • Fax (702) 486-4007

> Website; doLav.gov E-mail: Insinto@doLav.gov

August 7, 2015

Victor Lee Miller, Esq. Attorney at Law 806 Buchanan Blvd., Stc. 115-338 Boulder City, NV 89005

RE: Marco Montano vs. Loya Insurance Company, et al. District Court, Clark County, Nevada

Case No. A-15-722152-C

Dear Mr. Miller;

The Division received the service of process documents on August 6, 2015, regarding the above-entitled matter. Service has been completed on Loya Insurance Company this date and enclosed are the following:

- A copy of our letter to Loya Insurance Company dated August 7, 2015;
- 2. A certified copy of the Proof of Service dated August 7, 2015; and
- 3. Your receipt in the amount of \$30.00.

Pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 680A.260, 685A.200, and 685B.050, all documents after initial service of process may be served directly to the party.

If you have any questions regarding this service, please so advise.

Sincerely,

AMY L. PARKS, ESQ.

Acting Commissioner of Insurance

By:

ŘHONDA KELLY

Service of Process Clerk

Enclosures

c: Loya Insurance Company